

This is an expanded version of the proof given in *Real Analysis* by John Howie, pp 34, (see [1]) and is intended to make the proof more accessible to students learning this subject. Any mistakes are the fault of this website only.

Note: A * at the start of a line shows where the original proof has been expanded/modified.

Theorems stated without proof

Theorem 1 :

Let a_n be a convergent sequence with limit α . Then $|a_n|$ is convergent with limit $|\alpha|$.

See [1] pp 30 for proof.

Theorem 2 :

Every convergent sequence is bounded

<http://qedstats.wordpress.com/2008/07/01/theorem-2/>

Theorem 3 :

Results involving two convergent sequences.

Let a_n and b_n be two sequences with limits α and β respectively then;

(i) $(-a_n) \rightarrow -\alpha$

(ii) $(a_n + b_n) \rightarrow \alpha + \beta$

(iii) $(a_n - b_n) \rightarrow \alpha - \beta$

(iv) $(a_n b_n) \rightarrow \alpha \beta$

(v) $(ka_n) \rightarrow k\alpha$ for every constant k

(vi) $\left(\frac{1}{b_n}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)$ if $b_n \neq 0$ for all n and $\beta \neq 0$

(vii) $\left(\frac{a_n}{b_n}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)$ if $b_n \neq 0$ for all n and $\beta \neq 0$

Proof for (iv):

Since a_n and b_n are both convergent sequences then by Theorem 2 they both have upper bounds A and B (both positive) for all $n \geq 1$. Now suppose that $|\beta| > B$.

* If $|\beta|$ is very close to B then $|\beta| - B$ will be very small. Thus $|\beta| - B = \varepsilon$ say.

* Using this and Theorem 1 we have;

* $\|b_n| - |\beta| < \varepsilon = |\beta| - B$ for all $n > N$ (Note that this still holds if $|\beta|$ is not close to the upper bound B .)

* $-|\beta| + B < |\beta| - |b_n| < |\beta| - B$

* $-|b_n| < -B$

* and so $|b_n| > B$

*. But this contradicts B as an upper bound and so $|\beta| \leq B$ for all $n > N$. This result is the same for α . Therefore;

$$|\beta| \leq B \text{ for all } n > N_1 \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq A \text{ for all } n > N_2 \quad (1)$$

Now let $\varepsilon > 0$, and because A and B are both positive;

$$|a_n - \alpha| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2B} \quad n > N_1 \quad |b_n - \beta| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2A} \quad n > N_2 \quad (2)$$

* i.e. ε is an arbitrarily small number and so is $\frac{\varepsilon}{2B}$.

Now;

$$|a_n b_n - \alpha \beta| = |a_n(b_n - \beta) + \beta(a_n - \alpha)| \quad (3)$$

* i.e inserting $-a_n \beta + a_n \beta$ into the LHS and factorizing. Now if all of the bracketed terms

* and non-bracketed terms on the RHS are positive then we have;

$$* |a_n(b_n - \beta) + \beta(a_n - \alpha)| = (|a_n|)(|b_n - \beta|) + (|\beta|)(|a_n - \alpha|)$$

* But if any of the two products on the LHS are less than zero then the LHS is less than the RHS and so (3) can be written;

$$|a_n(b_n - \beta) + \beta(a_n - \alpha)| \leq (|a_n|)(|b_n - \beta|) + (|\beta|)(|a_n - \alpha|) \\ \leq A(|b_n - \beta|) + B(|a_n - \alpha|) \quad (\text{using (1)})$$

and for all $n > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$;

$$\begin{aligned}
&< A\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2A}\right) + B\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2B}\right) && \text{(using (2))} \\
&= \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) = \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Thus;

$$|a_n b_n - \alpha \beta| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$$

and so $a_n b_n \rightarrow \alpha \beta$ QED.

Proof for (vi):

Since $\beta \neq 0$ and $b_n \rightarrow \beta$ then;

$$|b_n - \beta| < \varepsilon = \frac{|\beta|}{2} \quad \text{for all } n > N_1. \quad (4)$$

* i.e. Since $b_n \rightarrow \beta$ then $|b_n - \beta|$ can be made arbitrarily small and can therefore be made

* smaller than $\frac{|\beta|}{2}$.

* The table below compares three expressions under different conditions (the conditions * are given in the first two columns).

		(i) $ \beta - b_n $	(ii) $ b_n - \beta $	(iii) $ b_n - \beta $	
a) $b_n > 0, \beta > 0$	$b_n > \beta$	$\beta - b_n (< 0)$	$ b_n - \beta = b_n - \beta (> 0)$	$ b_n - \beta = b_n - \beta (> 0)$	(i) < (ii) = (iii)
	$\beta > b_n$	$\beta - b_n (> 0)$	$ b_n - \beta = \beta - b_n (> 0)$	$ b_n - \beta = \beta - b_n (> 0)$	(i) = (ii) = (iii)
	$\beta = b_n$	0	0	0	(i) = (ii) = (iii)
b) $b_n < 0, \beta > 0$	$\beta > b_n$	$\beta - b_n (< 0, > 0)$	$ b_n - \beta (> 0)$	$ b_n - \beta (> 0)$	(i) \leq (ii) < (iii)
c) $b_n > 0, \beta < 0$	$b_n > \beta$	$ \beta - b_n (< 0, > 0)$	$ b_n - \beta (> 0)$	$ b_n - \beta (> 0)$	(i) \leq (ii) < (iii)
d) $b_n < 0, \beta < 0$	$b_n > \beta$	$ \beta - b_n (> 0)$	$ \beta - b_n (> 0)$	$ \beta - b_n (> 0)$	(i) = (ii) = (iii)
	$\beta > b_n$	$ \beta - b_n (< 0)$	$ b_n - \beta (> 0)$	$ b_n - \beta (> 0)$	(i) < (ii) = (iii)
	$\beta = b_n$	0	0	0	(i) = (ii) = (iii)

From the above table and (4) we can now write;

$$\begin{aligned}
|\beta| - |b_n| &\leq \left| |b_n| - |\beta| \right| \leq |b_n - \beta| < \varepsilon = \frac{|\beta|}{2} \\
|\beta| - |b_n| &< \frac{|\beta|}{2} \\
-|b_n| &< -\frac{|\beta|}{2} \\
|b_n| &> \frac{|\beta|}{2} \\
\frac{1}{|b_n|} &< \frac{2}{|\beta|}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Now since $b_n \rightarrow \beta$ we can say;

$$|b_n - \beta| < \frac{\varepsilon |\beta|^2}{2} \quad n > N_2$$

i.e. b_n can be made arbitrarily small. Now multiplying both sides by an expression;

$$\frac{2|b_n - \beta|}{|\beta|^2} = \frac{2}{|\beta|} \frac{|b_n - \beta|}{|\beta|} < \varepsilon$$

and so from (5);

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|b_n|} \frac{|b_n - \beta|}{|\beta|} &< \frac{2}{|\beta|} \frac{|b_n - \beta|}{|\beta|} < \varepsilon \\
\frac{|b_n - \beta|}{|b_n \beta|} &< \frac{2|b_n - \beta|}{|\beta|^2} < \varepsilon \\
\left| \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{b_n} \right| &= \frac{|b_n - \beta|}{|b_n \beta|} < \frac{2|b_n - \beta|}{|\beta|^2} < \varepsilon \\
\left| \left[\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{b_n} \right] \right| &< \varepsilon \\
\left| \frac{1}{b_n} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right| &< \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

and so $\frac{1}{b_n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\beta}$

QED.

References

- [1] Howie, J.M, (2006), Springer *Undergraduate Mathematics Series: Real Analysis*, Springer, London.